## Attachment A: Questionnaire based on discussion points

Discussion – Scope of permitted work	
Question 1: Would you support a realignment of the scope of work permitted under the remote Aboriginal communities plumbing scheme as proposed? If not, what concerns do you have with the revised definition and what changes to the proposed scope would you like to see?	Yes
Discussion – Authorised workers and training requirements	
Question 2: Do you support the proposal to expand the list of qualifications in regulation 37(b) to include higher level qualifications relevant to environmental health, health science, public health and population health? If no, please provide reasons for your view. Are there any other qualifications you consider should be added to the list of qualifications that an individual may hold in order to be considered an 'authorised worker'?	Yes
Question 3: Do you consider that the proposed plumbing training units provide sufficient knowledge and skills to perform the basic plumbing work detailed in <u>Question 1</u> ?	Yes
Discussion – Eligible remote community	
Question 4: Are you aware of any reasons preventing eligible communities from using the remote Aboriginal communities plumbing scheme? If so, what are they and what changes do you think would make the scheme more accessible for these communities?	Access to the plumbing training and the cost of sending remote community staff to the training. Also, high turnover of staff.
Question 5: What is your view on the inclusion of town- based reserves on a case-by-case basis? What criteria should town-based reserves be required to meet in order to qualify as an 'eligible remote community'?	Does not affect us so have no view.

Discussion Service providera		
Discussion – Service providers Question 6: Are you aware of any reasons preventing service providers from using the remote Aboriginal communities plumbing scheme where there may be benefit to do so? If so, what are they and what changes would make the scheme more accessible to these service providers?	The main issue would be capacity. More funding is needed for our community to enable us to employ a full time (currently half time) Environmental Health Officer.	
Question 7: Do you agree with the proposal to require service providers to keep a register of each 'authorised worker' they employ or engage to perform work under the scheme? If not, please provide your reasons.	Yes	
Discussion – Impact of the Scheme		
Question 8: Can you provide any examples of where there has been a decrease in the incidence of health issues that can be attributed to the introduction of the remote Aboriginal communities plumbing scheme?	Plumbing repairs can take up to two months due to plumbers coming from Kalgoorlie. This poses huge risks residents. Quick repairs minimise the risk to residents.	
Question 9: Can you provide any examples or calculations showing water saved in remote Aboriginal communities as a result of the remote Aboriginal communities plumbing scheme, as well as the impact of that water saving on the community?	N/A	
Question 10: If you are a licensed plumbing contractor in an area where the remote Aboriginal communities plumbing scheme is in operation, what has been your experience of the scheme so far? Has the scheme had any impact (positive or negative) on your business? If yes, please tell us what and how.	N/A	

## Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety Building and Energy

Office: Level 1, 303 Sevenoaks Street, Cannington WA 6107 Post: Locked Bag 100, East Perth WA 6892

Phone: 1300 489 099 Fax: (08) 6251 1501

Email: <u>bcinfo@dmirs.wa.gov.au</u> Web: <u>www.dmirs.wa.gov.au/building-commission</u>

National Relay Service: 13 36 77 Quality of service feedback line: 1800 304 059

This publication is available in other formats on request to assist people with special needs.